

Graphite Foil Barrier Material for Reduction Cells with Improved Service Temperature

Andrey Yurkov¹, Artem Malakho² and Victor Avdeev³

1. Senior Researcher

2. Head of the Laboratory

3. Head of the Department

Moscow Lomonosov State University, Department of Chemical Technology and New Materials, Moscow, Russia

Corresponding author: Andrey.Yurkov@tech.chem.msu.ru

Abstract

Graphite foil could be used as a barrier material in lining of the reduction cells because of non-wetting by aluminum melt. Graphite foil is used as barrier in the lining of the industrial reduction cells [1]. However, there are two limitations in the application of the graphite foil in the lining: service temperature of graphite foil is limited and permeability of graphite foil to molten cryolite is not zero. The oxidation of the graphite foil starts at rather low temperature, and this fact implies some restriction on the design of reduction cell lining. The oxidation resistance of the graphite foil is determined by the specific surface area (the surface area of the graphite foil with density of 1 000 kg/m³ is ~25 m²/g) and by concentration of oxygen containing groups [2]. Surface oxygen containing groups decrease the activation energy of the oxidation for graphite matrix. The way to increase the oxidation resistance of the graphite foil barrier material is to eliminate the oxygen containing groups by special treatment. Another approach is to decrease the surface area by the impregnation of the graphite foil with inorganic substances, followed by thermal treatment resulting in formation of protection vitreous layers on the surface of graphite foil.

Keywords: Graphite foil, Reduction cell, Barrier material, Cryolite, Oxidation resistance.

1. Introduction

The refractory lining of the reduction cell is made from alumina-silica fireclay materials. This lining is a sort of a barrier between the molten bath, molten aluminum and the heat insulation materials in the bottom of the reduction cell [3]. From the point of view of chemistry, aluminosilicate refractory is not the best material to be in the contact with molten cryolite and aluminum at high temperatures [4]. Yet we can suppose, that due to economic reasons there will not be serious changes in the chemical composition of the refractory layer of the reduction cell.

Al₂O₃-SiO₂ refractories react with cryolite and sodium, that penetrate through carbon cathode blocks. The reactions of cryolite and sodium with refractory layer of the cathode may have negative consequences for the service of the reduction cells [3, 4]. The thermal conductivity of reacted refractory and heat insulation cathode materials increases, that may change the thermal balance and performance of the reduction cells. The volume of the major part of reactions of cryolite with alumina-silica compounds increases, that may cause bottom "heaving" of the carbon cathode blocks, the strains and cracking of cathode blocks. The world tendency to increase the amperage and current density in reduction cells does not stop [5]. The cryolite and sodium penetration through the carbon cathode blocks to refractory layer in modern high amperage cells is more intensive. Another trend in aluminum industry is recycling of the refractory lining of reduction cells [6], that might be very difficult if the refractory layer is infiltrated with cryolite.

Graphite foil, or compressed thermally expanded graphite (TEG), is a promising material to prevent the leakage of molten aluminum and cryolite into the refractory lining. Proshkin [1, 7, 8]

reported, that the graphite foil stops aluminium and due to ultrafine pore structure diminishes the flow of the bath. Laboratory tests had shown absolute non-wetting of the foil by molten aluminum. The dry autopsies had shown, that the barrier graphite foil stops the leakage of aluminum melt into the refractory layer.

However, there are two limitations in the application of the graphite foil in the lining: service temperature of graphite foil is limited and permeability of graphite foil to molten cryolite is not zero. The oxidation of the graphite foil starts at rather low temperature, and this fact implies some restriction on the design of reduction cell lining.

The objective of the research was to investigate the oxidation resistance of the graphite foil and to estimate the possibilities for some increase of the service temperature of the graphite foil in the lining of the reduction cell.

2. Materials and the Method of Investigation

2.1 Materials

The starting material was natural flake graphite GT-1 (ash contents 0.2-0.5 %, grain size < 0.315 mm) with true (XRD) density 2.256 g/cm³. The natural graphite was soaked in 40 % sodium hydroxide solution. Exfoliated graphite (EG) was prepared by the shock thermal treatment of expandable graphite by carrying it in air flow through tube furnace heated to 900 °C.

The obtained exfoliated graphite had BET specific surface area 56-58 m²/g, true (XRD) density 2.256 g/cm³ (measured on exfoliated graphite) and bulk density 0.0024 g/cm³. Graphite foil (GF) was prepared by pressing the exfoliated graphite into compacts with a bulk density from 0.5 g/cm³ to 1.8 g/cm³. The thickness of the foil was 0.7 mm.

2.2 Method of Investigation

The bulk (geometrical) density of GF was calculated as the ratio of GF mass to its volume. The bulk (geometrical) density was used as reference characteristic.

The specific surface area of the samples was measured by adsorption of nitrogen on MicroActive ASAP 2020 Plus 2.00 (Micromeritics Instrument Corp.).

The X-ray diffraction analysis was performed at Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer (CuK α , $\lambda = 1.5418 \text{ \AA}$, 0.2 °/minute). Structures were analyzed at scanning electron microscope Tescan MIRA-3 with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) X-MAX150 Oxford instruments. The images were made in SE and BSE detector.

The true density was calculated according to the standard procedure, from XRD diffraction measurements of exfoliated graphite – the raw material to produce graphite foil.

The oxidation tests were performed by two methods. One kind of oxidation test was performed on 50 x 50 x 0.7 mm sheets of foil. All weighed pieces of foil were placed in the furnace and then were taken out after a certain time and were weighed again. The weight loss was calculated as using the Equation (1).

$$\frac{\Delta m}{m} = \frac{m_{\text{initial}} - m_{\text{oxidized}}}{m_{\text{initial}}} \quad (1)$$

Another way of oxidation test (loss on ignition test) was standard test EN 14472 [9], that was performed, using 50 x 150 mm plates of the foil at oxidizing temperature 670 °C.

3. Results and Discussion

As it was reported earlier [10], the graphite foil has a very big inner surface area (Figure 1). The graphite foil with density 0.5 g/cm³ has surface area about 30 m²/g, while the surface area of graphite foil GF 1.8 g/cm³ is 20 m²/g. The surface area of the most conventional graphite foil GF 1.0 g/cm³ is about 25 m²/g.




Figure 1. The dependence of the inner specific surface on the density of the graphite foil.

There are two ways to diminish the oxidation of the graphite foil. The oxidation resistance of the graphite foil is determined by the specific surface area (the surface area of the graphite foil with density of 1 000 kg/m³ is ~25 m²/g) and by concentration of oxygen containing groups [2]. One way to increase the oxidation resistance is to diminish the inner surface of the foil and another way is to diminish the activity O-containing groups on the foil. The application of the coating is the easiest and probably the cheapest way to diminish the surface and to increase the oxidation stability of the graphite foil, yet the activity of the oxygen containing groups on the surface of the foil should be also taken into account.

Fuente et al. [2] gave a picture of possible oxygen containing groups, that can exist on the surface of carbon (Figure 2). These groups may be formed on the surface of carbon and may exist at different temperatures. The influence of different oxygen containing groups on oxidation resistance of graphite differ, but anyway these oxygen containing groups promote the oxidation of graphite.

We registered on infra-red spectra some of these oxygen containing groups on the surface of regular graphite foil and after different regimes of oxidation (Figure 3). This question requires additional investigation but it is clearly seen, that there are the changes on IR spectra after the oxidation of the foil in different regimes.




Figure 2. The types of surface oxygen containing groups on the surface of carbon (re-drawn from [1]).




Figure 3. Infra-red spectra of the surface graphite foil without treatment and after different regimes of oxidation.

Anyway, the oxidation resistance of the graphite foil (and the weight loss, that reveals oxidation resistance), strongly depends on temperature (Figure 4). It is well known, that in reduction cells the temperature under the carbon cathode blocks is about 900 °C and it is reduced from the carbon block to the refractory layer and heat insulation layer, depending on the construction of the cell, heat balance, etc.




Figure 4. The weight loss of graphite foil (regular type GF 1.0 g/cm³ without treatments or coatings) at 750 °C (1), 650 °C (2) and 550 °C (3).

The results of the oxidation (loss on ignition) test at 670 °C according to DIN EN 14472 Standard [9] are in Table 1. The special treatments, aimed on elimination or decrease of the activities of surface oxygen containing groups on the surface of carbon play certain role in the increase of the oxidation resistance. The glassy coatings on the surface of the graphite foil, that prevent the direct contact between the graphite foil and oxygen, also diminish the oxidation at high temperatures.




Figure 5. The weight loss of regular graphite foil (GF 1.0 g/cm³) and the graphite foil after special treatment at 750 °C.




Figure 6. The weight loss of regular graphite foil ($GF\ 1.0\ g/cm^3$) and the graphite foil after special treatment at 650 °C.




Figure 7. The weight loss at 750 °C of regular graphite foil ($GF\ 1.0\ g/cm^3$) and the graphite foil ($GF\ 1.0\ g/cm^3$) with coating.

Table 1. Loss on ignition (oxidation) of regular and modified graphite foil GF 1.0 g/cm³ at 670 °C according to standard methodic DIN EN 14472 [9].

Sample	LOI, %
Regular graphite foil GF 1.0 g/cm ³	17.561
Modified graphite foil GF 1.0 g/cm ³	3.172

In order to estimate the influence of special treatments of the oxidation of the graphite foil, we calculated the activation energy of the process of oxidation using Arrhenius Equation (2) according to Ozawa-Flynn-Wall method [11, 12].

$$k = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} \quad (2)$$

where: k Rate constant,
 T Absolute temperature,
 A Pre-exponential factor, a constant for each chemical reaction,
 E_a Activation energy for the reaction,
 R Universal gas constant.

The data for this calculation was received from thermogravimetry of the foil in isothermal regime at 550 °C, 650 °C and 750 °C.

The activation energy of oxidation of regular foil was 146.6 J/mol, while the activation energy of oxidation of the foil after the treatment was 202 J/mol. This difference clearly shows the possibility to improve the activation energy of oxidation of the graphite foil, and that there is, to some extent, the possibility to govern the process of oxidation and the weight loss.

4. Conclusions

Graphite foil, or compressed thermally expanded graphite (TEG), is a promising material to prevent the leakage of molten aluminum and cryolite in the cathode of the reduction cell. This issue may be essential for the design of the lining of high amperage reduction cells and to solve the problem of the recycling of the lining.

There are two limitations in the application of the graphite foil in the lining: service temperature of graphite foil is limited and permeability of graphite foil to molten cryolite is not zero. The oxidation of the graphite foil starts at rather low temperature, and this fact implies some restriction on the design of reduction cell lining.

The oxidation resistance of the graphite foil may be increased by the application of the coatings and by the modification of the oxygen containing groups on the surface of the graphite foil.

5. References

1. A.V. Proshkin et al., The results of the investigation of the graphite foil as an element of barrier layer in the cathode of Al reduction pot, *Proceedings of International Congress & Exhibition: Non-Ferrous Metals and Minerals – 2018*, 10-14 September 2018, Krasnoyarsk, Russia, 453-458.
2. E Fuente et al., Infrared spectroscopy of carbon materials: a quantum chemical study of model compounds, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2003, 107, 26, 6350-6359.
3. Morten Sørli, Harald F. Øye. *Cathodes in aluminium electrolysis*, 3rd Edition, Dusseldorf, Aluminium-Verlag, 2010, 662 pages.
4. Andrey Yurkov, *Refractories for Aluminum. Electrolysis and Cast House*, 2nd Edition, Springer Publishing House AG, 2017, 267 pages.
5. Dagoberto Schubert Severo and Vanderlei Gusberti, A 700 kA alumina reduction cell with downstream cathode, *Proceedings of 38th International ICSOBA Conference*, 16 – 18 November 2020, TRAVAUX No. 49, AL-05, 563-580.
6. Mahesh Sahoo, Amit Gupta and S. K. Badajena, Minimizing SPL Generation via Redesigning Pot and Life Enhancement, *Proceedings of 38th International ICSOBA Conference*, 16 – 18 November 2020, TRAVAUX No. 49 AL-17, 723-732.
7. A.V. Proshkin et al, Lining of cathode assembly of electrolysis cell for production of aluminum, Patent WO 2017/044010, 2015.
8. Aleksandr Proshkin et al., State and development prospects of technologies for the use of unshaped lining materials in aluminum reduction cells, *Proceedings of 38th International ICSOBA Conference*, 16 – 18 November 2020, TRAVAUX No. 49, AL-10, 649-588.
9. DIN EN 14772. Quality assurance inspection and testing of gaskets in accordance with the EN 1514 and EN 12560 series of standards.
10. Andrey Yurkov, Artem Malakho and Viktor Avdeev, Graphite foil as a barrier for the penetration of aluminum and cryolite into cathode lining, *Proceedings of 38th International ICSOBA Conference*, 16 – 18 November 2020, TRAVAUX No. 49, AL-12, 669-578.
11. Joseph H. Flynn and Leo A. Wall, A quick, direct method for the determination of activation energy from thermogravimetric data, *J. Polym. Sci.* May 1966, Vol. 4, 323-328.
12. ISO 11358-2:2014 Plastics — Thermogravimetry (TG) of polymers — Part 2: Determination of activation energy.